## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

DYSO:		CHNOLOGY L C.,	IMITED and	)	
		Pl	aintiffs,	)	
		v.		) ) C	A. No. 05-434-GMS
MAYT	AG C	ORPORATION	٧,	)	
		De	efendant.	)	
		JOINT PRO	OPOSED SPEC	CIAL V	ERDICT FORM (PATENT)
	WE, T	HE JURY, unani	mously find as t	follows	:
I.	INFR	INGEMENT			
	1.	Do you find b	oy a preponderai	nce of t	he evidence that the Hoover "Fusion"
vacuun	n clear	ner infringes the	e following clair	n of the	e '515 patent? (A "yes" answer to this
questio	n is a	finding for Dys	on. A "no" ans	wer is a	a finding for Hoover.)
		Claim 14	Yes		No
	2.	Do you find b	oy a prepondera	nce of t	he evidence that the Hoover "Fusion"
vacuun	n clear	ner infringes the	e following clair	ns of th	ne '748 patent? (A "yes" answer to this
questio	n is a	finding for Dys	on. A "no" ans	wer is a	a finding for Hoover.)
		Claim 15	Yes		No
		Claim 16	Yes		No
		Claim 17	Yes		No

Page 2 of 3

	3.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the Hoover "Fusion"
vacuu	m clean	er infringes the following claims of the '008 patent? (A "yes" answer to this
questi	on is a f	inding for Dyson. A "no" answer is a finding for Hoover.)

Claim 1 Yes\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_ Claim 2 Yes\_\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_ Claim 3 Yes\_\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_ Claim 7 Yes\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_ Claim 11 Yes\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_ Claim 23 Claim 24 Yes\_\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_ Claim 25 No\_\_\_\_

## II. DAMAGES

4. The parties have agreed to the number of units sold and Hoover's net revenue from sales of the accused product. If you have found that the Hoover "Fusion" vacuum cleaner infringes at least one of the claims of the patents in suit, what percentage of Hoover's net revenue is Dyson entitled to as a reasonable royalty rate for Hoover's infringement?

Royalty Rate %\_\_\_\_\_

## III. WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

	1
of the claims of any of the patents in suit, do you find that Dyson has proven by clear ar	ıu
convincing evidence that Hoover's infringement was willful? (A "yes" answer to this	question is
a finding for Dyson. A "no" answer is a finding for Hoover.)	
Yes No	
WHEN THE JURY HAS REACHED A VERDICT, THE FOREPERSON MUST THIS VERDICT FORM AND SIGNAL THE U.S. MARSHALL THAT THE JUR READY TO RENDER A VERDICT.	
Date: Jury Foreperson	